



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/575,864

05/19/2000

Toshio Inadate

09812.0571-00000

1674

22852

7590

03/21/2007

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER
LLP

901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

TRAN, TONGOC

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2134

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

03/21/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/575,864	Applicant(s) INADATE, TOSHIO	
	Examiner Tongoc Tran	Art Unit 2134	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 December 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,7,14-19 and 21-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,7,14-19 and 21-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 12/19/2006.

Claims 1, 7 and 14-28 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. In respect to Applicant's remark that the none of the cited prior art teaches a "searching means...[that] allows [a] second customer to search the non-binding request for an offer to sell goods submitted by [a] first customer". Examiner asserts that the cited prior art, teach this limitation. For example, Ojha teaches that "consumers and corporation buyers already have online access to service providers. They may electronically search through vast inventories to easily and conveniently find products to fit their needs...demand for technical solution by which electronic commerce transactions may be facilitated and made more efficient...solutions range from increasing the speed...improving search engine capabilities to creating more user-friendly interface... the buyer's interface allows him to search a proprietary database for current product information for a variety of products being offered for sale by a number of sellers...(Ojha, Summary). "the buyer may initiate a search for a particular product...the search may initiate by individual who are not members of the transaction site (col. 9, lines 19-26). Furthermore, Ojha teaches other buyers may view the buyer's shopping list and this shopping list can be customized (Fig. 8, col. 11, lines 5-13). In Walker '223, Walker teaches search means to queries a database having end user request and searchable criteria which correspond to the expert qualification (claim 93).

Art Unit: 2134

Searching means to queries database having end user request met the limitation of searching means that allow a second customer to search information submitted by the first customer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 7 and 13-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,794,207, ['207]) and Ojha et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,598,026, hereinafter Ojha) in view of Walker et al. (U.S. 5,862,223, hereinafter Walker ['223]).

In respect to claim 1, Walker ['207] discloses an information processing apparatus connected to a plurality of information terminal by way of a network comprising:

Storing means for storing user information;

Authenticating means for authenticating a user (see col. 8, lines 64-col. 9, line 5, storing means-buyer database, authentication means – authenticates the buyer's identification);

Recording means for recording one or more non-binding request for an offer to sell goods transmitted by a first customer, the first customer authenticated by said

Art Unit: 2134

authenticating means; (see col. 8, line 42-col. 9, line 16, recording means-buyer create conditional purchase offer, a description of the goods he wishes to obtain) ;

Supplying means for supplying a service list of requests recorded by said recording means to a one or more shops, the shops being authenticated by said authenticating means; (see col. 9, lines 10-16, supplying means for supplying a service list-a seller could log onto a website...see a listing of CPO subject categories);

Said recording means for recording submitted offers to sell goods, the submitted offers transmitted by the shops in response to the non-binding requests in said service list (see col. 9, lines 17-30 and lines 45-50, recording means recording service information transmitted by the second user-if, after viewing...the seller communicates his intend, col. 9, lines 17-18). Walker ['207] does not disclose the request from the buyer is non-bidding. However, Ojha discloses user submitting non-bidding bidding request for interested product (see col. 3, lines 22-27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of non-bidding request taught by Ojha with Walker ['207]'s customer submitting bidding request in order that buyers would be getting the product they want for the price they are willing to pay while sellers would be effecting a greater number of sales due to their ability to engage in price discrimination (see Walker ['207], col. 2, lines 10-25).

Walker ['207] does not disclose but Walker ['223] discloses searching means for submitted offers to sell goods, in response to a demand from the first customer; and transferring means for transferring, to the first customer, a submitted requests list of the non-binding requests transmitted by the first customer; wherein the searching means, in

Art Unit: 2134

response to a demand from a second customer allows the second customer to search the non-binding request for an offer to sell goods submitted by the first customer.

In respect to claim 7, the claimed limitation is a method claim that is substantially similar to the apparatus claim 1. Therefore, claim 7 is rejected based on the similar rationale.

In respect to claim 13, Walker ['207], Ojha and Walker ['223] disclose the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the searching means allows a customer to search request information submitted by other customers (see Ojha, col. 4, lines 28-48).

In respect to claim 14, Walker ['207], Ojha and Walker ['223] disclose the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the second customer can purchase goods using non-binding requests for an offer to sell goods from the first customer (see Ojha, col. 4, lines 28-48).

In respect to claim 15, Walker ['207], Ojha and Walker ['223] disclose the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the user information includes a user ID, a password, and an electronic mail address (see Walker ['207], col. 13, lines 2-3).

Art Unit: 2134

In respect to claim 16, Walker ['207], Ojha and Walker disclose the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the authenticating means uses the user information stored in the storing means to authenticate the user (see Walker ['207], col. 13, lines 2-3).

In respect to claim 17, Walker ['207], Ojha and Walker ['223] disclose the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the submitted offers include direct link to web pages specified by the shops (see Ojha, col. 4, lines 15-27).

In respect to claim 18, Walker ['207], Ojha and Walker ['223] disclose the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the submitted request transferred to the first customer indicates the availability of submitted offers corresponding to the non-binding request (see Ojha, col. 4, lines 15-27).

In respect to claim 19, Walker ['207], Ojha, and Walker ['223] disclose the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the transferring means transfers the submitted request list to the first customer using electronic mail (see Ojha, col. 15, lines 16-20).

In respect to claims 21-26, the claimed limitations are method claims that are similar to apparatus claims 14-19. Therefore, claims 21-26 are rejected based on the similar rationale.

In respect to claims 27, Walker ['207], Ojha and Walker ['223] discloses the information processing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the submitted offers indicate whether the corresponding shop has the goods in stock (see Ojha, Fig. 8, "In stock").

In respect to claim 28, discloses the method for processing information according to claim 7, wherein the submitted offers indicate whether the corresponding shop has the goods in stock (see Ojha, Fig. 8, "In stock").

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2134


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tongoc Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3843. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Zand can be reached on (571) 272-3811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TT

March 15, 2007


KAMBIZ ZAND
PRIMARY EXAMINER